# Food consumption and nutrient intake among individuals with long-standing spinal cord injury in Norway

Hanne Bjørg Slettahjell<sup>1,2</sup>, Emma Kristine Amory<sup>2</sup>, Vegard Strøm (MSc, PhD)<sup>1</sup>, Monica Hauger Carlsen<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Sunnaas Rehabilitation Hospital, Oslo, Norway, <sup>2</sup>University of Oslo, Department of Nutrition, Norway

The SCI study population seems more compliant with dietary guidelines than the general Norwegian population; however, insufficient intakes of several micronutrients were identified.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Previous studies suggest suboptimal nutritional intake in spinal cord injury (SCI) populations (1). Consequences of SCI includes loss of muscle mass, reduced basal metabolic rate and physical inactivity which has implications for nutritional needs (fig 1).



#### **Objectives**

Characterize the diet of the SCI population in Norway, with regards to foods and beverage intake, energy, macro- and micronutrients and antioxidant intake. Compare intakes with reference populations, and proportion of the SCI population complying with the quantitative

dietary guidelines.

#### **Study design**

A cross-sectional survey in a random sample of 400 persons with SCI (fig 2).



## **METHODS**

#### Assessment

Semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire (FFQ)

#### **Study population**

Inclusion criteria:  $\geq$  2 years post injury, all cause, ASIA A-D

#### **Reference population**

Data from a nationwide survey Norkost3 (N3) (2), dietary guidelines and a Norwegian Antioxidant Study (3)

#### **Characteristics**

Table 1 : Study population characteristics

	Men	Women	Total
	(n=64, 66.7%)	(n=32, 33.3%)	(n=96, 100%)
	Median (Range)	Median (Range)	Median (Range)
Age (years) <sup>a</sup>	59.0 (24 – 86)	52.5 (21 – 69)	58.0 (21 – 86)
Time since injury (years) <sup>b</sup>	14.5 (4 – 48)	14.0 (3 – 56)	14.5 (3 – 56)
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	24.9 (17.0 – 36.1)	24.9 (18.6 – 32.0)	24.9 (17.0 – 36.1)
Age, N (%)			
21-30 years	5 (8)	5 (16)	10 (10)
31-45 years	11 (17)	8 (25)	19 (20)
46-60 years	18 (28)	11 (34)	29 (30)
61-75 years	26 (41)	6 (25)	34 (35)
76+ years	4 (6)	0 (0)	4 (4)
evel of injury, N (%)			
C1-C4 ABC	1 (1)	2 (2)	3 (3)
C5-C8 ABC	10 (10)	4 (4)	14 (15)
T1-S5 ABC	24 (25)	7 (7)	31 (32)
AIS D All levels	16 (17)	11 (11)	27 (28)
Missing values	13 (14)	8 (8)	21 (22)
iving arrangement, N (%)			
Living alone	16 (25)	8 (25)	24 (25)
Living with family	45 (70)	22 (69)	67 (70)
Other	3 (5)	2 (6)	5 (5)
obacco use, N (%)			
No	55 (86)	28 (88)	83 (87)
Occasionally	3 (5)	1 (3)	4 (4)
Daily	6 (9)	3 (9)	9 (9)

<sup>a</sup> Different from women, p = 0.05, <sup>b</sup> Missing value from one female

# **CONCLUSIONS**

### RESULTS

		Man		N /
			SCI	
Table 2 : Energy intake and Norwegian dietary guidelir	I shares of men and w les.	omen in the SCI	and Norkost 3 popula	tions o
81% used supple	ments.			
was significantly	lower compa	red to N3	(410 g/d vs. 9	925
were identified i	n parts of the	SCI popul	ation (Table 4	4). T
population (Table	e 3). Low inta	kes of vita	min D, -A, ca	lciu
(p<0.001) and co	ffee contribu	ted 54% o	f total antiox	idar

		SCI		Norkost 3				
		Men	Women	Men	Women			
		(n=64)	(n=32)	(n=833)	(n=905)			
Energy intake (MJ) <sup>β</sup>		8.9 (7.1, 11.4)	7.8 (6.3, 10.0)	10.5 (8.4, 12.8)	7.8 (6.3, 9.5)			
Daily consumption of:	RIα	%	%	%	%			
Vegetables, fruit and berries <sup>p<sup>a, pb</sup></sup>	≥ 500 g/d	57	56	17	19			
Vegetables <sup>p<sup>a, pb</sup></sup>	≥ 250 g/d	55	78	16	14			
Fruits and berries <sup>pa, pb</sup>	≥ 250 g/d	44	44	25	30			
Whole grain <sup>c</sup>	≥ 70 g/d/≥90 g/d	33	47	28	27			
Fish, pure <sup>d, p<sup>a, pb</sup></sup>	≥ 350-400 g/d	67	50	40	32			
Fatty fish <sup>e, p<sup>a</sup></sup>	≥ 200 g/week	44	28	16	18			
Red meat, pure + processed <sup>f</sup>	< 500 g/week	28	66	42	61			

mended intake according to Norwegian dietary guidelines

(P25, P75)

icant difference between men; p<sup>b</sup> : significant difference between women; Chi-square test (p < 0.001).

## CUSSION

ts may be influenced by different assessment methods in the SCI and REFERENCES ence studies. Known challenges of FFQ's are overestimation of fruit regetables and underestimation of snacks. Generally a challenge of **1.** Gorgey AS, Dolbow DR, Dolbow JD, Khalil RK, Castillo C, Gater DR. Effects of spinal cord injury on body composition and metabolic profile - part I. The journal of spinal cord medicine. 2014;37(6):693-702 ry assessment methods include motivated responders. In the future, 2. Norwegian Directory of Health. Norkost 3 – A Nationwide dietary survey among men and women in Norway aged 18-70 years, 2010-2011. 2012. <sup>•</sup> dietary assessment tools may shed light on the representativeness of **3.** Carlsen MH, Karlsen A, Lillegaard IT, Gran JM, Drevon CA, Blomhoff R, et al. Relative validity of fruit and vegetable intake e dietary findings for the SCI population. estimated from an FFQ, using carotenoid and flavonoid biomarkers and the method of triads. The British journal of nutrition 2011;105(10):1530-8



#### **Contact:**

hannebjorg.slettahjell@sunnaas.no

A total of 64 men and 32 women responded (response rate 26.5 %). The study population had similar energy intake (EI) as the N3 population, however, men with SCI had 15% less EI compared to N3 men (p=0.002) (Table 2). Consumption of plant foods were higher in the SCI group than N3 nt intake in the SCI im, zinc and selenium Total water intake  $5 \,\text{g/d}$ ) (p<0.001) and

complying with the quantitative

Table 3 : Food and beverage contributions to antioxidant intake; presented as percentages of total antioxidant intake

Percentage of	SCI population			Antioxidant population			
antioxidants	Median			Median			
from:	(%)	5-perc.	95-perc.	(%)	5-perc.	95-perc.	р
Breadproducts	1.3	0.0	6.7	3.9	1.0	13.0	<0.01
Vegetables	5.6	1.4	16.7	5.2	1.2	15.6	0.52
Fruit and berries	10.6	1.6	44.6	10.2	2.0	36.6	0.89
Fruit	6.5	0.9	28.8	6.1	0.7	22.0	0.38
Berries	3.1	0.1	19.5	2.0	0.2	10.6	0.10
Juices	0.8	0.0	10.8	1.9	0.0	14.4	<0.01
Nuts and seeds	0.4	0.0	8.1	0.5	0.0	8.2	0.49
Chocolate	1.2	0.0	9.7	1.2	0.0	12.8	0.64
Coffee	54.1	0.0	87.1	46.9	0.0	81.1	0.02
Теа	2.9	0.0	59.5	4.6	0.0	48.9	0.20
Wine	0.8	0.0	17.0	4.3	0.0	22.5	<0.01
Spices	0.5	0.1	3.8	1.9	0.2	5.4	< 0.01

The presented antioxidant values in table 13 do nut sum up to 100 % due to presentation of only those food groups that contribute significantly to the antioxidant intake. Thus, the remaining antioxidant food sources are distributed among several other food groups, such as for example condiments, with small individual contributions to the total intake of antioxidants.

Table 4: Shares of men and women from SCI and Norkost 3 with micronutrient intakes at or above recommended levels

		SCI		Norkost 3	
		Men	Women	Men	Women
		(n=64)	(n=32)	(n=833)	(n=905)
aily intake of	Recommendation	%	%	%	%
itamin A	Men: 900 RAE, Women 700 RAE	50	72	46	46
olic acid <sup>α,β</sup>	300 µg	52	56	36	18
itamin C <sup>α,β</sup>	75 mg	89	88	56	65
itamin D	10 µg	16	19	17	9
itamin E <sup>β</sup>	Men: 10 mg, Women: 8 mg	72	88	62	67
alcium	800 mg	55	44	63	45
nk	Men: 9 mg, Women: 7 mg	81	78	85	82
on	Men: 9 mg, Women 15 mg	72	19	80	9
elenium	Men: 60 μg, Women: 50 μg	50	41	48	39
lagnesium	Men: 350 mg, Women: 280 mg	63	81	72	71

<sup>a</sup> sign. difference between men from SCI and N3 (p < 0.001); <sup>b</sup> sign. difference between women from SCI and N3 (p < 0.001); <sup>c</sup> Folic acid supplementation of 400 µg is recommended for women planning pregnancy, otherwise recommended intake for women is 300 µg per day.

SUNNAAS
REHABILITATION HOSPITAL